

REVIEW*
of the article proposed for publishing in the Akademos journal

No.	Criteria	Conclusions
1.	To what extent the content and the approach fit into the editorial policy and the concept of the magazine as one focused on scientific issues with a wide fundamental and applicative resonance	
2.	The adequate formulation of the title of the article (brevity, accordance with the content)	
3.	The compliance with the inherent structure of a scientific article: <i>summary</i> (in English and Romanian), <i>key words</i> , <i>introduction</i> , <i>applied materials and methods</i> (for exact sciences and life sciences), <i>results and discussions</i> , <i>conclusions</i> , <i>bibliographic references</i> .	
4.	The relevance of the summary (<i>informative, consistent, emphasizing the entire content of the article</i>)	
5.	The scientific quality of the article	
6.	The expression style (<i>clarity, accessibility, compliance with grammar rules</i>)	
7.	The graphic display, the rationality of the inclusion of charts and tables, their compliance with the theme	
8.	Bibliography (<i>relevant current sources of the studied topic</i>)	
9.	Recommendations to the Author	
10.	Recommendations to the Editor	<p><i>The article:</i></p> <p>a) Meets all the conditions for publication</p> <p>b) Partly meets the conditions for publication, the reviewer asks for the version complying with his objections</p> <p>c) Is rejected</p>

Reviewer (name, surname, scientific degree): The editorial staff keeps the anonymity of the reviewer

Signature

Date

* The review sheet is made up based on records compiled by the Institute for the Development of the Informational Society ([Institutul de Dezvoltare a Societății Informaționale](#)) of the Academy of Sciences of Moldova, the project National Bibliometric Tool ([Instrumentul Bibliometric Național](#)).